



**MICHIGAN CLIMATE ACTION COUNCIL**  
**Cross-Cutting Issues Technical Work Group**  
**Draft- Meeting Summary of Teleconference Call #4**  
Wednesday, April 2, 2008, 11:00 a.m. – 12:30 p.m.

**Background documents for call:**

- Notice and Agenda
- Call #3 Draft Summary
- PowerPoint Presentation
- Revised Policy Catalog with Notional Rankings
- Priority Tiers Tables

Tom Looby called the roll, reviewed the agenda and used the power point presentation to discuss the agenda topics.

The Call #3 Summary was approved with no changes.

**Goals for MCAC Meeting #3**

- Determine Priority State Policy Options from the Catalog for Detailed Design
- Review MI Emissions Inventory and Forecast
- Review Potential Preliminary State Goals and Targets
- Review Draft Interim Report

**Formulate Top Priority State Policy Options from the CCI Catalog to Recommend to MCAC for Detailed Analysis**

Since the CCI TWG had identified 11 Priority Options, Tom Looby opened this agenda item presenting the TWG with the option of putting all 11 Options in the Top Tier for further analysis and development of to further narrow the list. The CCI TWG chose to present all 11 Options as Tier One Priorities for Further Analysis and Development. They then went through a review of the compilation of notional rankings of all sub-options associated with each Option. They determined which of the sub-options should be included in the Top Tier priorities, which should be Tier Two priorities and which should be noted as implementation items. Results of these discussions are summarized below and were subsequently compiled into a summary Table of Priority Tier Options.

**CC-1:** 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, and 1.6 are top tier.

Note that 1.4 and 1.5 are implementation mechanisms. Not in list of sub-options but we'll keep track of it. 1.7, 1.8, and 1.9 should also be considered an implementation mechanism.

**CC-2:** All will go forward.

**CC-3**

3.1 top tier

3.2 Implementation item.

3.3 Implementation item.

3.4 top tier

3.5 low – third tier

3.6 tier one - Under the new mining law Michigan does do environmental assessments. Steve Chester interprets this to be a specified range of where a GHG impact statement would be required. Scope to be determined. Evaluate instead of Require.

3.7 top tier

3.8 tier 2

3.9 tier 2

3.10 top tier

3.11 top tier

4. all top tier

5. whole package top tier – just list top level for Table Five summary document.

6. Cap and Trade – top tier

There was significant support for undertaking cap and trade effort. Substantial discussion took place on which TWG should take the lead on CC-6. There is concern that cap and trade have participation and buy in from environmental community. Bruce perceives that participation is poor in the ES TWG. Keep all sub-options on table to pass on to new TWG, if it comes to pass.

7.1 Top tier

7.2 Top tier

7.3 Third tier

8.1 top tier

8.2 top tier

9.1 top tier

9.2 top tier Put Canada note here in 9.2.

9.3 Implement item.

10.1 top tier

10.2 top tier

10.3 top tier, Move existing note to 10.5. Put new notes in multi-state infrastructure is seen as desirable such as an interstate railroad.

10.4 tier two

10.5 tier two

11.1 and 11.2 tier one, bundle 11.1 and 11.2. Make note on potential bundle with 11.1 and 11.2.

11.3 and 11.4 top tier.

Steve Chester: Let's keep placeholder on issue of Chicago Climate Exchange. We can meet their 6% goal and may want to consider it for the interim report.

6.2.1 MI should join the Chicago Climate Exchange

### **Discussion of Baseline Year and GHG Reduction Targets**

Steve Chester of MDEQ presented a potential set of Preliminary Draft MI GHG Reduction Goals as reflected on Slide #5 and summarized below.

- Baseline Year- 2002
- Short-term Goal: 2015- 15% of base
- Mid-Term Goal: 2025- 30% of base
- Long-term Goal: 2050- 80% of base

He also presented information comparing a baseline year of 1990 vs. 2002.

Given the limited amount of time available Steve Chester would like more opportunity for discussion and feedback from TWG members. It was agreed to set another call date for April 7 to discuss the proposal more thoroughly.

Tom Looby encouraged TWG members to review the draft I&F and determine if they want to offer comments on it..

### **Next Call**

A special call was scheduled on April 7, 2008 from 4pm to 5 pm to discuss Preliminary GHG Reduction Goals and Targets.

After the next MCAC meeting, the next regular CCI TWG call is scheduled for May 7, 2008 at 11am to 12:30pm.

**Public Comment**

Dusty Fancher asked to be notified of the call on reduction goals, and offered the following comment on the Cap and Trade discussion: "There was a significant discussion at the last MCAC meeting that cap and trade should go in to the ES TWG. I also respect the decision of this CCI TWG to pursue a new Cap and Trade TWG. I would also offer that the ES TWG does have balanced representation given the participation of numerous people from the environmental community (she named several ES members including Paul Zuger.)"

**Attendance:**

**Cross Cutting Issues TWG Members:**

Julie Baldwin  
Dr. Rosina Beirbaum  
Ted Bishop  
Dr. Dwight Brady  
Steve Chester  
Norman Christopher  
Zoe Lipman  
Todd Parker  
Mike Peters  
Rick Plewa  
Lana Pollack  
Lynn Fiedler

**State Agency Representatives:**

Mike Beaulac, Michigan Department of Environmental Quality  
JoAnn Merrick, Michigan Department of Environmental Quality  
Olivia Cohen, Michigan Department of Environmental Quality  
Sarah Popp, Governor's Office

**Members of the public:**

Duncan Calloway, UM  
Bruce Goodman, Varnum Riddering  
Louis Pocalujka, Consumers Energy  
Greg Ryan, DTE  
Dusty Fancher, LS Power- Midwest Strategy Group

**Center for Climate Strategies:**

Tom Looby  
Linda Schade