



Brief Description of Catalog Items Energy Supply Technical Work Group

ES-1. EMISSIONS POLICIES AND OVER-ARCHING ITEMS

1.1 GHG Cap and Trade

A cap and trade system is a market mechanism by which GHG emissions are limited or capped at a specified level, and those participating in the system are required to hold permits for each unit of emissions. Through trading, participants with lower costs of compliance can choose to over-comply and sell their additional reductions to participants for whom compliance costs are higher. In this fashion, overall costs of compliance are lower than they would otherwise be.

The initial allocation of the allowances is a crucial policy decision. They can be auctioned (with the proceeds used to benefit consumers who will pay higher costs) or allocated to existing sources, or some combination of the two. Participants can range from a small group within a single sector to the entire economy. As with carbon taxes, the compliance obligation can be imposed ‘upstream’ (at the fuel extraction or import level) or “downstream” at points of fuel consumption.

Among the important considerations with respect to a cap and trade program are: The sources and sectors to which it would apply; the level and timing of the cap; how allowances would be distributed (e.g., whether load-based or generation-based, how new market entrants are accommodated, how leakage is addressed, etc.); and what if any offsets would be allowed. Other issues to consider include which GHGs are covered; whether there is linkage to other trading programs; banking and borrowing; early reduction credit; what if any incentive opportunities may be included; use of any revenue accrued from permit auctions; and provisions for encouraging energy efficiency.

On November 15, 2007 the governors of Michigan, Minnesota, Iowa, Illinois, Kansas, Wisconsin and the premier of Manitoba executed a resolution called the Midwestern Greenhouse Gas Accord. This agreement committed the signatories to establish regional goals for GHG reductions and “develop a market-based and multi-sector cap-and-trade mechanism to help achieve GHG reduction targets,” among other measures. Indiana, Ohio and South Dakota are participating in the process as observers. Other U.S. regional Cap and Trade efforts include the Western Climate Initiative <<http://www.westernclimateinitiative.org/>> which is currently in the development phase and the Northeast States’ Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative <<http://www.rggi.org/>> which is expected to commence on January 1, 2009.

1.2 Carbon (GHG) tax

A GHG tax would be a tax on each ton of CO₂ equivalent emitted from certain sources. The tax could be imposed upstream, based for example on the carbon content of fuels (e.g. fossil fuel suppliers) or at the point of combustion and emission. Although taxed entities would pass some or all of the cost on to consumers, there would be competitive pressure to find cost-effective ways to lower (or offset) emissions. Consumers who see the implicit cost of GHG emissions in products and services could adjust their behavior to lower emissions and reduce cost. The program can be designed to be “revenue neutral” (not a net tax increase) for example by offsetting with an income tax reduction, can fund policies and programs to assist with reducing GHG emissions, or can be directed to helping the competitiveness of industries or assisting communities affected by the tax.

1.3 Generation performance standards and/or mitigation requirements for electricity

A generation performance standard (GPS) is a mandate that requires load-serving entities (LSEs) to acquire electricity, or power plant developers to build and operate new generation, with a per-unit emission rate below a specified mandatory standard. In some cases, GHG offsets or credits can be used to mitigate emissions and achieve compliance. A market-based variation of a GPS would allow generators with emission rates lower than the GPS to sell their extra “credits” to generators with emission rates higher than the standard.

1.4 Integrated resource planning (IRP)

Integrated Resource Planning, or IRP, is a planning process that strives to meet needs for electricity services in a manner that meets multiple objectives, such as least cost, meeting emissions standards, fuel diversity, RPS requirements, etc. An IRP process should include evaluation of all options, from both the supply and demand sides, in a fair and consistent manner, building in flexibility to account for future uncertainties. While originally targeted primarily towards cost minimization, IRP processes have increasingly considered the environmental risks and the potential costs associated with future regulation of GHGs.

1.5 Voluntary GHG commitments

Numerous US companies and organizations, including many utilities, have taken on voluntary GHG reduction commitments. Some of these are organized through the US EPA’s Climate Leaders program. These commitments can be based on total GHG emissions in a given year or can be defined on an intensity basis (tCO₂e per MWh generated or delivered.) Some entities with voluntary commitments also transact through the Chicago Climate Exchange (CCX), a self-regulating pilot program for reducing and trading GHG emissions in North America.

1.6 Technology Research, Development & Demonstration

R&D funding can be targeted toward a particular technology or group of technologies as part of a state initiative to build an industry around that technology in the state, and/or to set the stage for adoption of the technology for use in the state. For example, an agency can be established with a mission to help develop and deploy energy storage technologies. R&D funding can also be made available to any renewable or other advanced technology through an open bidding procedure (i.e., driven by bids received rather than by a focused strategy to develop a particular technology). Funding can also be given for demonstration projects to help commercialize technologies that have already been developed, but which are not yet in widespread use. Finally, funding could be targeted to increase collaboration among existing institutions in the state for R&D.

1.7 GHG Emission reporting and disclosure

Emission disclosure consists of establishing requirements that GHG emitters publish their estimated GHG emissions on a regular (e.g., annual) basis. In addition to emissions, disclosure can also include an accounting of business risks due to climate change, such as assets in danger of weather-related damage, threats to market share, and risks of future regulation. Environmental disclosure allows investors and consumers to obtain information regarding a firm's GHG emissions and climate risks so as to better make purchasing and investment decisions, and provide an incentive for firms to reduce risk in these areas by, among other actions, reducing their CO₂ footprints. In the case of energy supply, environmental disclosure would take the form of providing consumers and stockholders with information on carbon emissions per kWh in a form that it would help them make decisions about electricity purchases and consumption, as well as evaluate investment risks. It is effective particularly in areas where consumers have an opportunity select their electricity provider.

ES-2. RENEWABLE ENERGY AND ENERGY EFFICIENCY

2.1 Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS)

A renewable portfolio standard (RPS) is a requirement that utilities must supply a certain, generally fixed percentage of electricity from an eligible renewable energy source(s). About 20 states currently have an RPS in place. In some cases, utilities can also meet their portfolio requirements by purchasing Renewable Energy Certificates (RECs) from eligible renewable energy projects.

2.1.1 Energy Efficiency Portfolio Standard (EEPS)

An energy efficiency portfolio standard is a requirement that utilities must demonstrate that a certain generally fixed percentage of their load is being reduced or offset by the use of energy efficiency technologies or investments. This is similar to the efficiency component of the “environmental portfolio standards” that have been implemented in a handful of states.

2.1.2 Emissions Reducing Technologies Portfolio Standard (ERTPS)

An emissions reducing technologies portfolio standard works the same as the RPS and the EEPS, but seeks to promote the use of low carbon technologies that do not qualify as renewable or energy efficiency. An example would be fuel switching, where the change from electricity to a lower-carbon fossil fuel would result in a net reduction of GHG emissions.

2.2 Grid-based renewable energy incentives and/or barrier removal

This policy option reflects financial incentives to encourage investment in renewable energy resources. Examples include: (1) direct subsidies for purchasing/selling renewable technologies; (2) tax credits or exemptions for purchasing renewable technologies; (3) feed-in tariffs, which provide direct payments to renewable generators for each kWh of electricity generated from a qualifying renewable facility; (4) tax credits for each kWh generated from a qualifying renewable facility; (5) regulatory policies that provide incentives and/or assurance of cost recovery for utilities that invest in central station renewable energy systems. In addition, this policy option would make it a priority for the Legislature, the Public Service Commission, and other relevant state agencies to identify and rectify barriers which are impeding the development of renewable resources in the state.

2.3 Distributed renewable energy incentives

This option is analogous to option 2.2 but focuses on providing incentives for distributed renewable resources throughout the state. Appropriate incentives should also be available for

small scale off-the-grid renewable generation development such as direct subsidies and tax credits.

2.3.1 Distributed renewable energy barrier removal

This option is analogous to option 2.2 and 2.3 but focuses on removing barriers to distributed renewable resources throughout the state. Barrier removal should also be available for small scale off-the-grid renewable generation development. Barriers to development of distributed renewable energy could include out-of-scale regulatory requirements, land use and zoning restrictions, unfavorable rate allowances (see 2.6) and utility connection policies.

2.4 Green power purchases and marketing

Green power refers to electricity produced by environmentally benign sources such as wind, solar, biomass, and hydroelectric generating resources. For example, one program allows consumers to purchase “green tags” along with their electricity ensuring that a quantity of electricity equal to their purchase contributed to the development and support of renewable resources. Another example allows customers to pay an additional surcharge per kWh, or in blocks of kWh, to receive “green power” obtained through their respective utility. According to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency there are at least six utilities in Michigan offering some form of ‘green power’ program for their customers. Generally voluntary, these programs can be implemented on a utility, statewide or regional basis.

2.5 Combined Heat and Power (CHP) standards, incentives and/or barrier removal

Combined heat and power can reduce GHG emissions by increasing the overall efficiency of fuel use. However, there are numerous barriers to combined heat and power (CHP), including inadequate information, institutional barriers, high transaction costs because of small projects, high financing costs because of lender unfamiliarity and perceived risk, “split incentives” between building owners and tenants, and utility-related policies like interconnection requirement, high standby rates, exit fees, etc. The lack of standard offer or long-term contracts, payment at avoided cost levels, and lack of recognition for emissions reduction value provided also creates obstacles. Policies to remove these barriers can include: improved interconnection policies, improved rates and fees policies, streamlined permitting, recognition of the emission reduction value provided by CHP and clean DG, financing packages and bonding programs, power procurement policies, education and outreach, etc.

Applications for CHP include district heating systems such as those in Detroit, Lansing and Grand Rapids and at several universities campuses across the state. In addition, the City of Holland uses waste heat from their power plant to heat streets to aid in snow/ice removal.

Financial incentives for combined heat & power (CHP) could include: (1) direct subsidies for purchasing/selling CHP systems given to the buyer/seller; (2) tax credits or exemptions for purchasing/selling CHP systems given to the buyer/seller; (3) tax credits or exemptions for

operating CHP systems; (4) feed-in tariff, which is a direct payment to CHP owners for each kWh of electricity or BTU of heat generated from a qualifying CHP system; and (5) tax credits for each kWh or BTU generated from a qualifying CHP system.

2.6 Pricing strategies to promote renewable energy and/or CHP (e.g. net metering)

Pricing and metering strategies can provide price signals and revenue streams to support investment in and optimal operations of CHP and renewable energy systems. Net metering is a policy that allows owners of grid-connected distributed generation (generating units on the customer side of the meter, often limited to some maximum kW level) that generate excess electricity to sell it back to the grid, effectively “turning the meter backward.”

Net metering provides several incentives for renewable DG by reducing transaction costs (e.g., no need to negotiate contracts for the sale of electricity back to the utility) and increasing revenue by setting compensation at retail electricity rates rather than at utility avoided costs.

In addition to net metering, pricing strategies of relevance to CHP and distributed renewable energy systems can include “time-of-use” rates. These are fixed rates for different times of the day and/or for different seasons which reflect the time-varying value of electricity;

2.7 Renewable energy development issues (zoning, siting, etc.)

Policies can be developed to help overcome barriers for renewable energy development. Institutional and market barriers include price distortions, failure of the market to value the public benefits of renewables and the social cost of fossil fuel technologies, inadequate information, institutional barriers to grid interconnection, high transaction costs because of small projects, high financing costs because of lender unfamiliarity and perceived risk. These can be overcome through a suite of financial and regulatory redresses as well as through information and public education campaigns.

Financial obstacles can be addressed through property tax exemptions, exclusions, and credits; personal income tax credits or deductions to cover the expense of purchasing and installing renewable energy equipment; loan programs to aid in financing the purchase of renewable energy equipment; and grant programs designed for research and development or to help a project achieve commercialization.

Regulatory policies can include solar or wind easements or access rights; development guidelines at the local level to enhance renewable energy generation (e.g. requiring proper street orientation); requirements that utilities provide information and utility leasing programs for renewable energy production to customers in remote regions.

2.8 Technology-focused initiatives (biomass co-firing, energy storage, fuel cells, etc.)

States can undertake initiatives focused on developing, promoting, and/or implementing one or more specific technologies that have the potential to reduce GHG emissions. Technologies could include, among others, hydrogen production and fuel cells for electricity storage, compressed air energy storage systems (to enable greater penetration of intermittent renewable technologies such as wind), or biomass co-firing. Biomass co-firing can be a low-cost, near-term means of converting biomass to electricity and displacing a fraction of coal use by adding up to 15% biomass in high-efficiency coal boilers.

2.9 Public benefit charge or Public benefit funds

A public benefits charge (sometimes call systems benefits charge) is a fee on utility customers based on their usage of energy which is to be spent on public goods such as energy efficiency. In many deregulated states the utility commissions have lost the ability to require efficiency programs of the electric utilities, so the public benefits charge has been introduced as a non-by-passable charge on electric bills. The funds collected are then provided to a third party to provide energy efficiency programming.

2.10 State lead by example – green power purchasing

The government of the State of Michigan is a major consumer of electricity and as such can promote the development of environmentally benign generation and lead by example by committing to purchase a significant portion of its power through a certified ‘green power’ program. This policy is linked to 2.4, “Green power purchases and marketing.”

2.11 District Heating and cooling

District heating is often fueled by the availability of waste heat from a power plant, but in some situations there may be emissions reduction benefits from centralizing heating or cooling even when heating or cooling application is the first use of the fuel. For example, biomass combustion is usually more cost effective and efficient on a scale larger than that required for a typical single building. If a district heating system can make economic use of this resource and displace decentralized use of fossil fuels, emission reductions can be achieved. In those cases where aggregation of the heating or cooling load could reduce emissions the state could offer a variety of incentives or other support to encourage it.

Existing Actions in Michigan

- Michigan Renewable Fuels Commission was established under Public Act 272 of 2006. The Commission is tasked with developing recommended policies and strategies to promote research, development, production and distribution of alternative fuels in Michigan. For more information go to http://www.michigan.gov/mda/0,1607,7-125-1568_47547_47562---,00.html

- Michigan Renaissance Zones were established Under Public Acts 270 and 273 of 2006. These acts expanded eligibility under the existing Michigan Renaissance Zone act to allow for 10 additional zones to offer tax incentives to renewable energy production facilities, including agricultural processing facilities.
- Executive Directive 2006-02 established the “21st Century Electric Energy Plan for Michigan” to identify the State’s future energy needs; provide for a reliable, cost effective supply, and to establish goals for energy efficiency, use of alternate and renewable energy technologies; and to protect Michigan’s natural resources. For more information go to: <http://www.dleg.state.mi.us/mpsc/electric/capacity/energyplan/index.htm>
- Wind Working Group Collaborative was formed by the Michigan Public Service Commission and the DLEG, Energy Office with over 50 stakeholders. This collaborative has created the Wind Siting Guidelines for small- and large-wind systems.
- The Michigan Wind Energy Manufacturing Working Group is sponsored by NextEnergy, Michigan Public Service Commission, Michigan State Energy Office, Michigan Manufacturing Technology Center and Western Michigan University. The mission of this group is to advance the capacity and capabilities within Michigan for designing, engineering, and manufacturing wind energy systems. This working group allows wind system developers and their potential manufacturing supply chain to build relationships. For more information go to [Michigan Wind Manufacturing Group](#).
- Wind energy development projects located or planned in Michigan by private investors include projects initiated by John Deere Wind, Noble Environmental Power, DTE Energy, and others.
- The Michigan Public Services Commission is leading various efforts to revise net-metering and interconnection policies and procedures for small and large renewable energy systems.
- Michigan Renewable Energy Program (MREP) was established under Section 10r(6) of 2000 PA 141. The MREP, is charged with informing customers of the availability and value of using renewable energy generation, the potential for reduced pollution, promoting the use of existing renewable energy sources, and encouraging the development of new renewable energy facilities.
- The Michigan Department of Labor and Economic Growth’s “Energy Office” has created a Biomass Energy Program. The goal of this program is to encourage increased production and use of energy derived from Michigan's biomass resources through program policies, public and private partnerships, information dissemination, and state project grants. For more information on this program, go to http://www.michigan.gov/cis/0,1607,7-154-25676_25753---,00.html

ES-3. FOSSIL FUEL AND NUCLEAR ELECTRICITY

3.1 Advanced fossil fuel technology (e.g. IGCC, CCSR) incentives, support, or requirements

Advanced fossil technologies include more efficient and thus lower emitting generation technologies. Advanced fossil technologies combined with carbon capture and sequestration or reuse (CCSR) may have the potential to significantly lower CO₂ emissions associated with fossil-fuel based electricity generation. Such technologies include, but are not limited to; fluidized bed combustors; pulverized coal (sub-critical, super-critical and ultra super-critical).

Policies to encourage the development of these technologies may include mandates or incentives to use advanced coal technologies for new coal plants, such as a mandate that requires new fossil fuel-fired power plants to achieve a specific low net CO₂ emission rate. Alternatively, a mandate might require that all or a portion of new coal plants be of a certain type, such as Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle (IGCC). Incentives may take the form of direct subsidies or assistance in securing financing, and/or off-take agreements. A combination of mandates and incentives is also possible.

Policies to encourage CCSR could include a state agency or department within an existing agency tasked with promoting CCSR, evaluation studies to identify geologically sound reservoirs, R&D funding to improve CCSR technologies, and/or financial incentives or mandates to capture and store carbon or to capture and re-use it.

3.2 New Nuclear Power

Nuclear power has historically presented a low-GHG source of electricity. However, no new commercial reactor has come on line in the US since 1996 due to extremely high capital costs, the absence of any plan or technology for permanent disposal of nuclear waste, and risks to public safety exemplified by high-profile accidents at Three Mile Island and Chernobyl. The current Administration has been supportive of nuclear expansion, emphasizing its importance in maintaining a diverse energy supply and its reputation for producing electricity with negligible pollutant emissions during operation. Congress has also offered significant financial subsidies for new nuclear plants in an effort to jump-start the industry, including limitations on liability for nuclear accidents.

3.3 Re-licensing/Up-rating Existing Nuclear Power

Nuclear plant re-licensing allows a nuclear power plant to extend the life of the facility for twenty years past its original 40-year license term. This is considered a low-cost and low-emissions source of energy because there is limited additional capital cost or additional embodied emissions associated with extending the life of fully depreciated and operating nuclear

plants. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), the nation's regulatory authority for nuclear power, considers the re-licensing program one of its major cornerstones of current regulatory activity. A nuclear power plant up-rating is a process whereby a licensee receives approval from the NRC to operate a plant at a higher power level than the level authorized in the original license.

3.4 Efficiency improvements and re-powering existing plants

Efficiency improvements refer to increasing generation efficiency at power stations through incremental improvements at existing plants (e.g., more efficient boilers and turbines, improved control systems, or combined cycle technology). Re-powering existing power plants refers to switching to lower or zero emitting fuels at existing plants, or for new capacity additions. This includes use of biomass or natural gas in place of coal or oil. Policies to encourage efficiency improvements and re-powering of existing plants could include incentives or regulations as described in other options, with adjustments for financing opportunities and emission rates of existing plants.

3.5 Technology-focused initiatives

States can undertake initiatives focused on developing, promoting, and/or implementing one or more specific fossil fuel or nuclear technologies that show promise for reducing GHG emissions. Technologies could include, among others, carbon capture and storage (to sequester carbon dioxide emissions from power plants, oil and gas operations, and/or refineries); biomass blending in coal power plants; implementation of equipment in oil and gas operations that increases efficiency and reduces losses (e.g. remote sensors of leaks).

3.6 Power plant replacement

Michigan has the second oldest fleet of power plants in the nation, and will likely be facing the retirement or re-powering of a number of old, inefficient units within the timeframe of this planning process. In addition, both peninsulas are net importers of electrical power. The opportunity to replace aging units and reduce GHG intensive imports with cleaner in-state generation could offer a significant reduction in GHG emissions from this sector. Policies to encourage replacement of existing plants with cleaner technology and fuels could include incentives or regulations as described in other options, with adjustments for financing opportunities and emission reductions on a benchmarked (emissions per unit output) basis.

ES-4. FUEL PRODUCTION, PROCESSING AND DELIVERY

4.1 Oil and gas production: GHG emission reduction incentives, support, or requirements

Emissions of both methane (CH₄) and CO₂ can be reduced in the oil and gas production. Natural gas consists primarily of methane, a potent greenhouse gas; any reduction in leaks during production, processing, and transportation/distribution avoids GHG emissions. Stopping these leaks may also be economically beneficial because it can prevent the waste of valuable product. The EPA Natural Gas STAR program offers numerous methods of preventing leaks, including preventive maintenance (improving the overall efficiency of the gas production and distribution system), reducing flashing losses (releases when pressure drops at storage tanks, wells, compressor stations, or gas plants), and changing and replacing parts and devices to reduce leaks and improve efficiency.

4.2 Reduction in emissions from natural gas transmission and distribution

As with leaks of methane in oil and gas operations, any reduction of leaks during production, processing, and transportation/distribution avoids GHG emissions to the atmosphere and prevents the waste of valuable product.

4.3 Oil Refining: GHG emission reduction incentives, support, or requirements

Options for reducing CH₄ and CO₂ emissions during the production of liquid fuels at oil refineries include various efficiency measures including enhanced combined heat and power along with carbon capture and storage. Regulations, incentives, and/or support programs can be applied to achieve these reductions.

4.4 Coal Production: GHG emission reduction incentives, support, or requirements

There are a number of ways in which CH₄ and CO₂ emissions can be reduced and CH₄ can be recovered in the production of coal. These options include various efficiency measures, use of combined heat and power for operations, carbon capture and storage, and capture and use (or at least flaring) of methane that would otherwise be vented to the atmosphere. Regulations, incentives, and/or support programs can be applied to achieve these goals.

4.5 Coal-to-liquids Production: GHG emission reduction incentives, support, or requirements

Coal-to-liquids (CTL) plants are energy-intensive, and produce about 10 times more CO₂ emissions than conventional oil refineries in order to produce liquid fuels. However, with carbon capture and storage (and co-production of electricity and liquid fuels) such emissions can be substantially reduced.¹ Regulations, incentives, and/or support programs can be applied to achieve these goals.

4.6 Low-GHG Hydrogen production incentives and support

Hydrogen is not an energy source, but rather an energy carrier. It must be produced from other energy resources, such as fossil fuels (coal, oil, gas), renewable electricity (wind, solar), renewable fuels (bio-fuels, LFG), or nuclear power. However, it may facilitate the avoidance of GHG emissions by storing energy produced when and where available to be used when needed. The net greenhouse gas implications of producing hydrogen depend on the energy resource from which it is produced. In order to produce hydrogen from fossil fuels with low greenhouse gas emissions, it would be necessary to do it in conjunction with CCS. Policies in support of this option would provide incentives to projects that help develop or deploy low-GHG hydrogen production technologies as well as advance the technology of efficiently storing electric energy as hydrogen and converting it back to electricity.

4.7 Recovery of stranded oil

As the cost of oil increases the cost effectiveness of using aggressive means to extract ‘stranded’ oil from existing wells becomes more attractive. One such technology would inject captured CO₂ into the field to force out the stranded oil. If the CO₂ remains in the ground for a prolonged period of time this process could offer a valuable sequestration opportunity, highly ‘subsidized’ by the value of the remaining oil.

¹ International Energy Agency, 2006. *Energy Technology Perspectives*. Well-to-wheel GHG emissions from coal liquids are approximately twice those of conventional oil products. Cogeneration and carbon capture and storage can reduce those emissions to levels similar to, or slightly below, those of conventional oil products.

ES-5. CARBON CAPTURE AND STORAGE OR REUSE

5.1 CCSR incentives, requirements and/or enabling policies (administration, regulation, liability, incentives)

Carbon dioxide capture and storage or reuse (CCSR) is a process which includes separation of CO₂ from industrial and energy-related sources, transport to a storage location, and permanent or long-term storage in isolation from the atmosphere. Ideally, the CO₂ from large point sources such as power plants can be compressed and transported for storage in geological formations, in the ocean, in mineral carbonates, or for use in industrial processes. Captured carbon can also be used for enhanced recovery of oil and gas (see ES-4.7 above). The net reduction of emissions to the atmosphere through CCSR depends on the fraction of CO₂ captured, the relative increase in CO₂ production resulting from loss in overall efficiency of power plants which capture carbon, energy used for transport and storage, any leakage from transport, and the fraction of CO₂ retained in storage over the long term.

Policies to encourage development of CCSR technology could include a state agency or department within an existing agency tasked with promoting CCSR, financial incentives to capture and store carbon or to capture and reuse it, and/or mandates – coupled with technical feasibility and cost and investment recovery mechanisms, if appropriate – to capture and store or reuse carbon dioxide from power plants. There is also a host of non-technological challenges such as liability, eminent domain and other public policy questions that must be addressed before CCSR can be realized. The State of Michigan could convene an advisory group to study the legal, economic and public policy obstacles confronting CCSR and offer recommendations to policy makers.

5.2 R&D for CCSR

Technological as well as financial barriers exist to implementation of CCSR. While separation, capture and transport of CO₂ are themselves mature technologies, only three industrial-scale storage projects are currently in operation: the Sleipner project in an offshore saline formation in Norway, the Weyburn EOR project in Canada, and the In Salah project in a gas field in Algeria. Further R&D funding to improve CCSR technologies and evaluation studies to identify geologically sound reservoirs will be needed for this technology to play a significant role in reducing GHG emissions. Michigan has begun the process of evaluating the potential of its vast salt caverns for carbon sequestration in a pilot project as noted below. If this investigation yields promising results the state should move in a deliberate fashion beyond the pilot project stage.

Existing Actions in Michigan

- The DEQ Office of Geological Survey (OGS) has been working with the Midwest Regional Carbon Sequestration Partnership (MRCSP), a United States Department of Energy sponsored partnership, on a pilot project to test the potential for sequestering carbon dioxide

underground. The MRCSP is made up of seven states, the federal government, universities (Western Michigan University and others) and many companies. The MRCSP is one of seven (7) partnerships nationally. Together the seven partnerships are testing the potential for sequestering carbon dioxide in the following ways: terrestrial sequestration, brine formation sequestration, and oil and gas field sequestration. If you are interested in learning more about the MRCSP please visit their web site at www.mrcsp.org.

ES-6. OTHER ENERGY SUPPLY OPTIONS

6.1 Transmission system upgrading

Measures to improve transmission systems to reduce bottlenecks and enhance throughput may be required to satisfy long-term electricity demands and improve the efficiency of operations system wide. Opportunities may exist to substantially increase transmission line carrying capacity through the implementation of new construction and retrofit activities on the transmission grid, including incorporating advanced composite conductor technologies, capacitance technologies, and grid management software. Siting new transmission lines can be a difficult process given their cost and their local impact on the environment, and on the use, enjoyment, and value of property. Policy measures in support of this option could provide incentives to utilities to upgrade transmission systems and reduce barriers to siting of new transmission lines.

6.2 Reduction of transmission and distribution line losses

There are several energy efficiency measures that can be implemented to reduce the transmission and distribution line losses of electricity.

Utilities use a variety of components throughout the transmission and distribution system to manage losses. Increasing the efficiency of these components can further reduce losses and associated GHG emissions. For example, the state of Vermont offers a rebate to encourage the installation of energy efficient transformers. Regulations, incentives, and/or support programs can be applied to achieve greater efficiency of transmission and distribution system components.

6.3 General distributed generation support (interconnection rules, net metering, etc.)

Well-designed interconnection rules will ensure that distributed power products meet minimum requirements for performance, safety, and maintenance, at the same time significantly advancing the commercialization of these technologies. Such rules, generally developed and administered by a state's public utility commission, establish clear and uniform processes and technical requirements for connecting DG systems to the electric utility grid. Interconnection standards will reduce barriers to connection of DG systems to the grid. Connecting to the grid enables the facility to:

- Purchase power from the grid to supply supplemental power as needed, for example, during periods of planned system maintenance,
- Sell excess power to the utility,
- Maintain grid frequency and voltage stability, as well as utility worker safety. This topic is of particular interest as the Energy Policy Act of 2005 directs states to consider upgrading their

standards for interconnecting small generators within one year of enactment.
(http://www.epa.gov/chp/pdf/interconnection_factsheet.pdf)

6.4 Landfill Gas Recovery (see also Waste)

Capture of methane gas from landfills to reduce direct emissions either to produce electricity or provide methane directly as an industrial or commercial fuel. This option could be structured as either a mandate or an incentive program.

6.5 Waste to Energy (see also Waste)

Certain components of municipal waste can be used as a non-fossil combustion resource for generating electricity.

6.6 N₂O reduction co-benefit

Nitrous Oxide (N₂O), a minor component of total NO_x emissions from fossil fuel combustion, is one the most powerful GHG's. Each ton of N₂O represents > 250 tons CO₂e. Emissions policies further reducing NO_x emissions from power plants would have the additional benefit of reducing release of N₂O into the atmosphere.

6.7 Smart Grid

Smart Grid systems promote efficiency through improvements in system stability and better control technology and systems integration.

Existing Actions in MI

- The Michigan Public Service Commission has convened a statewide collaborative on smart grid infrastructure to improve the state's electric grid by including emerging technologies designed to improve the efficiency, reliability and security of the electric grid.